Contact-induced change and passivization in low-resourced languages of Iran

Hiwa Asadpour¹, Soudabeh Eslami², Mansoureh Delaramifar³ & Masoumeh Zarei⁴

¹JSPS International Fellow, University of Tokyo, Japan & Goethe University Frankfurt, ²University of Tübingen, ³University of Sistan and Baluchestan, ⁴Allameh Tabataba'i University

asadpour@lingua.uni-frankfurt.de, soudabeh.eslami@student.uni-tuebingen.de, delarami.m@gmail.com, zareimasoumeh26@gmail.com

This study examines passivization in minority languages of Iran such as Zanjani and Shahsevan Azeri (Turkic), Sistani Persian and Garusi Kurdish (Iranian) which are under the superstrate of Persian as the official language of this country and are also exposed to intra-regional linguistic contact. The aim is to discuss different features relevant to passive constructions and to find evidence for the role of language contact in forming the passive structures of the languages of the region. We want to track which features are regionally restricted and which ones are distributed in a larger span of space. The methodology is based on fieldwork study and the data is collected through visual questionnaires. The clauses with passive construction which are extracted from the recorded voices will be the basis for the analysis and the study of contact-induced change in a selection of languages in Iran. The following examples illustrate the passive formation of the English clause of "the child who is being pulled" in these languages:

(1)	Persian	an	baççe'i	ke	keşid-e	mi-şav-ad
		that	child	who	pull.PST - PRF	PROG-become.PRS-3SG
(2)	Sistani	am	goçaka	ke	kaşid-a	me:-şo
		that	child	who	pull.PST - PRF	PROG-become.3SG
(3)	Garusi	ew	zařuege	ke	di-kiş-iřili	
		that	child	who	PROG-pull-PASS.	3sG
(4)	Zanjani	o	uşağ	ki	çek-il-ir	
		that	child	who	pull-PASS-PROG.	3sg
(5)	Shahsevan	o	uşaq	ke	çek-el-er-e	
		that	child	who	pull-PASS-PROG-	-3SG

As mentioned above, in general, Persian (1) and Sistani Persian (2) diathesis is analytic, i.e., a combination of an auxiliary with a main verb, whereas Garusi Kurdish (3) uses a synthetic passivization, i.e., the verb form changes and different suffixes attach to the stem of the verb. Similar to Garusi Kurdish, the construction of passive in Zanjani (4) and Shahsevan (5) Turkic is synthetic. They are also characterized by adding the passive suffix to both transitive and intransitive stems.