Morphological Marking of Constituent Questions. A Case for Nonlocal Amalgamation HPSG 2021 Olga Zamaraeva

Department of Linguistics, University of Washington July 2021 Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

ntroductior

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- **Project:** The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹



Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

References

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

Copestake 2000

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- Project: The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹
- ▶ **Theory:** Nonlocal amalgamation ²
 - Heads "append" arguments' nonlocal features

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Copestake 2000

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- Project: The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹
- ▶ **Theory:** Nonlocal amalgamation ²
 - Heads "append" arguments' nonlocal features
- Problem: NA complicates the analysis of multiple question fronting

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Nonloc. amalg. foi morph. ques. marking

Copestake 2000

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- Project: The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹
- ▶ **Theory:** Nonlocal amalgamation ²
 - Heads "append" arguments' nonlocal features
- ▶ Problem: NA complicates the analysis of multiple question fronting
- Problem: But without NA, the analysis of morphological marking of questions is... questionable!

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Copestake 2000

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- Project: The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹
- ▶ **Theory:** Nonlocal amalgamation ²
 - Heads "append" arguments' nonlocal features
- ▶ Problem: NA complicates the analysis of multiple question fronting
- Problem: But without NA, the analysis of morphological marking of questions is... questionable!
- **Conclusion:** Choose between formalism restrictions and sharing the core?

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Reference

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

Copestake 2000

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- Project: The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹
- ▶ **Theory:** Nonlocal amalgamation ²
 - Heads "append" arguments' nonlocal features
- ▶ Problem: NA complicates the analysis of multiple question fronting
- Problem: But without NA, the analysis of morphological marking of questions is... questionable!
- **Conclusion:** Choose between formalism restrictions and sharing the core?
- ...or, reanalyze fronting with flexible word order?

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Copestake 2000

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

- **Data:** Constituent (*wh-*) questions cross-linguistically
- Project: The Grammar Matrix
 - Implemented system of HPSG grammars using one "core"
 - Restricted version of formalism, esp. wrt lists ¹
- ▶ **Theory:** Nonlocal amalgamation ²
 - Heads "append" arguments' nonlocal features
- ▶ Problem: NA complicates the analysis of multiple question fronting
- Problem: But without NA, the analysis of morphological marking of questions is... questionable!
- ► Conclusion: Choose between formalism restrictions and sharing the core?
- ...or, reanalyze fronting with flexible word order?
- ...or/and, revisit arguments/adjuncts distinction

2

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Copestake 2000

Bouma et al. 2001; Ginzburg and Sag 2000

Data: Constituent questions

- Questions about who did what to whom where, etc.
- ▶ Different marking strategies across languages, including:
 - Question phrase fronting
 - Morphological marking

 (1) Gde kto chto where who.NOM what.ACC vidit? see.3SG
 'Who sees what where?' (Russian [rus]; IE)³ (2) eeva iche -ša -m?
 what see -FUT.Q -1SG.Q
 'What will I see?'
 (Negidal [neg]; Tungusik)⁴

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA)

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

References

Constructed by a native speaker of Russian.

Hölzl 2018

3

Data: Constituent questions

- Fronting can be long distance
- Morphological marking can be distinct in polar vs. wh-
 - (3) Gde kto chto my where who.NOM what.ACC IPL.NOM vyjasnili vidit? find.out.PL.PAST see.3SG 'Who did we find out sees what where?' [rus]⁵
- ačaq=qa dudu'k
 who=CONTENT.3SG sing
 'Who is singing?' (Makah [myh]; Wakashan)⁶

Goal: Have a system of analyses for a range of phenomena such as above

All grammars share the same core

6 Davidson 2002

5

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

ata

Data: Constituent questions

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Constructed by a native speaker of Russian.

The Grammar Matrix

- Meta-grammar engineering framework⁷
- Input: Typological specification, lexicon, morphological rules
- Output: Implemented HPSG grammar fragment
 - Parse and generate sentences
 - Output syntactic and semantic representations
- Many syntactic phenomena are supported⁸
 - Most recently: wh-questions⁹
- https://matrix.ling.washington.edu/customize/matrix.cgi
- Zamaraeva, Howell, et al. 2019; Howell and Zamaraeva 2018; Saleem 2010; Song 2014; Nielsen 2018; Drellishak and Bender 2005; Crowgey 2013; Bender and Flickinger 2005; Zamaraeva 2021
- Zamaraeva 2021; Zamaraeva and Emerson 2020

8

9



Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting Data Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

DELPH-IN formalism

- ► A restricted version of HPSG¹⁰
- Unification the only native operation
 - ▶ i.e. no shuffle operator, no linearization
 - Number and order of daughters are fixed (lists have fixed, bounded length)
 - List append has to be explicitly encoded¹¹

append-list	
LIST	0/ist
APPEND	[<i>list</i> APPEND-RESULT 0

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions

Grammar Matrix

Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

¹⁰ Copestake 2000

¹¹ Copestake 2000; Zamaraeva and Emerson 2020; Emerson 2017, 2019

List-valued features in DELPH-IN HPSG

- ► Valence (SUBJ, COMPS, adjuncts (MOD))
 - No DEPS list combining arguments and adjuncts
- ► Semantics (RELS, CONT, ICONS)
- ► Nonlocal (SLASH, QUE, REL)
 - QUE necessary for wh-question semantics and for pied piping; SLASH for any kind of fronting/dislocation

lonloc. amalg. foi morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions

Grammar Matrix

Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

SLASH and QUE: Nonlocal dependencies

- (5) Which person's (son's) dog (do you think) sleeps? [eng]
 - SLASH creates LDD with the verb argument¹²
 - QUE creates LDD with the wh-word
 - ▶ non-*wh* words have empty QUE
 - ▶ (Perhaps a better name: WH¹³)



QUE

Grammar Matrix

12 Pollard and Sag 1994

¹³ Ginzburg and Sag 2000

Nonlocal amalgamation¹⁵

[basic two are lev item

▶ Idea: Head's NONLOCAL is the union of the daughters' NONLOCALS

Motivation:

- Fewer extraction rules required (in theory)
- easy-adjectives: simply stipulate the argument has a gap (nonempty SLASH)
- ▶ LDD can be encoded locally throughout the derivation (e.g. Chamorro)

	suble the dig lex let					
	ARG-ST	NON-LOCAL	SLASH REL QUE	1 2 3	SLASH REL QUE	4 5 6]
		SLASH APPEND) (1,	$\left 4 \right\rangle$		
SYNSEM NON-LOCAL		REL APPEND	(2,	5)		
		QUE APPEND	(3,0	<u>6</u>)		

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction

Data: Constituent questions

Grammar Matrix

Nonlocal amalgamation (NA)

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- Extraction rules may not be needed for English but they probably are needed cross-linguistically
 - E.g. valence-changing morphology
- ▶ Bouma et al.'s analysis relies on DEPS (arguments and adjuncts together)
 - ▶ Not adopted in DELPH-IN; e.g. counting adjuncts is hard
- Bottom line: DELPH-IN maintains extraction rules
 - ▶ ...but NA is used in e.g. the English Resource Grammar,¹⁶ for *easy*-adjectives

Vonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Multiple question fronting in DELPH-IN HPSG¹⁷

- ► With the **combination** of DELPH-IN lists and NA:
- Extraction rules merely specify some list is nonempty
 - They do not extend or combine SLASH sets/lists
 - Need to say: An adjunct is extracted before/after/between the arguments
- Implementing multiple question phrase fronting with flexible word order thus necessitates even more extraction rules



Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA)

Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

¹⁷ Zamaraeva and Emerson 2020

 Goal: Have a system of analyses (the Grammar Matrix) covering multiple question phrase fronting as well as other phenomena

...cross-linguistically, way beyond just English or just IE languages

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- Goal: Have a system of analyses (the Grammar Matrix) covering multiple question phrase fronting as well as other phenomena
 - ...cross-linguistically, way beyond just English or just IE languages
- Zamaraeva and Emerson (2020) argued that NA complicates the system and should be removed
 - ▶ If you extract explicitly, append NONLOCAL explicitly to avoid extra rules

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA)

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- Goal: Have a system of analyses (the Grammar Matrix) covering multiple question phrase fronting as well as other phenomena
 - ...cross-linguistically, way beyond just English or just IE languages
- Zamaraeva and Emerson (2020) argued that NA complicates the system and should be removed
 - ▶ If you extract explicitly, append NONLOCAL explicitly to avoid extra rules
- This talk: A counterpoint:

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- Goal: Have a system of analyses (the Grammar Matrix) covering multiple question phrase fronting as well as other phenomena
 - ...cross-linguistically, way beyond just English or just IE languages
- Zamaraeva and Emerson (2020) argued that NA complicates the system and should be removed
 - ▶ If you extract explicitly, append NONLOCAL explicitly to avoid extra rules
- ► This talk: A counterpoint:
 - Morphological marking of interrogative constructions

lonloc. amalg. fo morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (MA)

Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- Goal: Have a system of analyses (the Grammar Matrix) covering multiple question phrase fronting as well as other phenomena
 - ...cross-linguistically, way beyond just English or just IE languages
- Zamaraeva and Emerson (2020) argued that NA complicates the system and should be removed
 - ▶ If you extract explicitly, append NONLOCAL explicitly to avoid extra rules
- This talk: A counterpoint:
 - Morphological marking of interrogative constructions
 - Much simpler with NA!

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

- Goal: Have a system of analyses (the Grammar Matrix) covering multiple question phrase fronting as well as other phenomena
 - ...cross-linguistically, way beyond just English or just IE languages
- Zamaraeva and Emerson (2020) argued that NA complicates the system and should be removed
 - ▶ If you extract explicitly, append NONLOCAL explicitly to avoid extra rules
- ► This talk: A counterpoint:
 - Morphological marking of interrogative constructions
 - ...Much simpler with NA!
 - ...for a certain typological profile at least

Vonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA)

Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Morphologically marked interrogatives

(6) oža-va iche-žee-v
 track-ACC see-FUT-1SG
 'I will see the tracks.' [neg]¹⁸

(7) ii-ja-m = i?enter-FUT.Q-1SG.Q = Q 'Shall I come in?' [neg]

- (8) eeva iche-ža-m?
 what see-FUT.Q-1SG.Q
 'What will I see?' [neg]
- 18 Hölzl 2018
- 19 Davidson 2002

(9) ?ačaq=qa:ł dudu'k who=CONTENT.3SG sing 'Who is singing?' [myh]¹⁹

(10) dudu'k='a^{*}a=qa:k=s sing=TEMP=POLAR=1SG 'Am I singing?' [myh] Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Morphologically marked interrogatives: Typology

- Special paradigm(s) for interrogatives:
 - Polar and constituent questions may have distinct paradigms
 - ► In DELPH-IN HPSG:
 - Modeling the (i) vs (ii),(c) distinction is easy with or without NA
 - Modeling (a)–(b) distinction without NA is not trivial without NA



Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Indicative vs. interrogative, NA does not matter

- Distinction between (i) indicative and (ii) interrog. lex. rules is easy
 - (c) by extension (same as (ii))
- The sentential force SF semantic feature will block any interrogative phrase structure rule



Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation: (a) vs (b)

- Lex. rules for wh- (and not polar) questions need to explicitly posit which argument of the head is or isn't wh
 - No way to just say: Some argument is wh (in DELPH-IN HPSG)



Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Frame Title

- But, the wh-obj-lex-rule will apply spuriously!
 - ...in languages where there is only one morpheme to mark any wh-question
 - Cannot constrain it's SUBJ to be empty (saturated)
 - ...would violate the assumption that lexical rules apply before phrasal



Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting



Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation Conclusion

References

- イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー わらの

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation: (a) vs (b)

non-wh-cons synsem FIRST NON-LOCAL.QUE.LIST REST non-wh-list polar-lex-rule SUBJ $\langle [NON-LOCAL|QUE|LIST \langle \rangle] \rangle$ COMPS *non-wh-list* SUBJ SYNSEM|LOCAL|CAT|VAL wh-subi-lex-rule (NON-LOCAL|QUE|LIST SYNSEM|LOCAL|CAT|VAL|SUBJ cons wh-obi-lex-rule SUB I non-wh-list SYNSEM|LOCAL|CAT|VAL (NON-LOCAL|QUE|LIST COMPS 4 D F 4 B F 4 B F Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

- With NA, can say: some arg is wh!
- It is the same as to say QUE cons!
- ▶ For (c), just leave QUE underspecified
- No need to think about number or order of args!
- No need to posit any additional types beyond the following two:

 polar-lex-rule

 SYNSEM|SF
 ques

 DTR|SYNSEM|NON-LOCAL|QUE|LIST

 wh-lex-rule
 gues

 SYNSEM|SF
 ques

 DTR|SYNSEM|NON-LOCAL|QUE|LIST
 cons

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introduction Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Presented an analysis of morphological marking in DELPH-IN HPSG
 - Implemented as part of the Grammar Matrix²⁰
 - Implementation tested on Makah [myh] (Wakashan) and pseudolangages²¹
- In DELPH-IN HPSG, treatment of morphological marking and fronting of questions²²seem to be in competition
- Nonlocal amalgamation²³seems particularly important for morphological marking
 - Analysis is easy both conceptually and in terms of implementation
- It complicates multiple fronting with flexible word order but perhaps this indicates more work on word order is required?
- ...or revisiting the arguments/adjuncts distinction is in order?²⁴
- 20 Bender, Flickinger, and Oepen 2002; Bender, Drellishak, et al. 2010
- 21 Zamaraeva 2021
- 22 Zamaraeva and Emerson 2020
- 23 Bouma et al. 2001
- 24 Przepiórkowski 2016

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

ntroduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion

References I

Copestake, Ann (2000). "Appendix: Definitions of typed feature structures". In: Natural Language Engineering 6.01, pp. 109–112. Bouma, Gosse, Robert Malouf, and Ivan Sag (2001). "Satisfying Constraints on Extraction and Adjunction". In: Natural Language & Linguistic Theory 19.1, pp. 1–65.

Ginzburg, Jonathan and Ivan Sag (2000). Interrogative investigations. Stanford: CSLI publications.

Hölzl, Andreas (2018). A typology of questions in Northeast Asia and beyond: An ecological perspective. Berlin: Language Science Press. Davidson, Matthew (2002). "Studies in Southern Wakashan (Nootkan) grammar". PhD thesis. Buffalo, NY: University of New York at Buffalo.

Zamaraeva, Olga, Kristen Howell, and Emily M Bender (2019). "Modeling clausal complementation for a grammar engineering resource". In: Proceedings of the 2nd meeting of the Society for Computation in Linguistics, pp. 39–49.

Howell, Kristen and Olga Zamaraeva (2018). "Clausal modifiers in the Grammar Matrix". In: Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pp. 2939–2952.

Saleem, Safiyyah (2010). "Argument optionality: A new library for the Grammar Matrix customization system". MA thesis. University of Washington.

Song, Sanghoun (2014). "A grammar library for information structure". PhD thesis. University of Washington.

Nielsen, Elizabeth K (2018). "Modeling adnominal possession in the lingo grammar matrix". MA thesis. University of Washington.

- Drellishak, Scott and Emily M Bender (2005). "Coordination Modules for a Crosslinguistic Grammar Resource". In: Departamento de Informática Faculdadede Ciências da Universidadede Lisboa Campo Grande, 1749–016 Lisboa Portugal, p. 29.
- Crowgey, Joshua (2013). "The syntactic exponence of sentential negation: A model for the LinGO Grammar Matrix". PhD thesis. University of Washington.

Bender, Emily M and Dan Flickinger (2005). "Rapid Prototyping of Scalable Grammars: Towards Modularity in Extensions to a Language-Independent Core". In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing IJCNLP-05 (Posters/Demos). Jeju Island, Korea.

Zamaraeva, Olga (2021). "A cross-linguistic analysis of constituent questions for the Grammar Matrix". PhD thesis. University of Washington.

Zamaraeva, Olga and Guy Emerson (2020). "Multiple Question Fronting without Relational Constraints: An analysis of Russian as a basis for cross-linguistic modeling". In: Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar. Virtual conference, to appear.

Emerson, Guy (2017). (Diff)List Appends in TDL. DELPH-IN summit, Oslo, Norway. URL: http://www.delph-in.net/2017/append.pdf.

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

ntroduction

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question fronting

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusior

References II

Emerson, Guy (2019). Wrapper types: relational constraints without relational constraints. DELPH-IN summit, Cambridge, UK. URL: http://users.sussex.ac.uk/~johnca/summit-2019/wrapper-types.pdf.

Pollard, Carl and Ivan Sag (1994). *Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar*. Studies in Contemporary Linguistics. Chicago, IL and Stanford, CA: The University of Chicago Press and CSLI Publications.

Flickinger, Dan (2000). "On building a more efficient grammar by exploiting types". In: Natural Language Engineering 6.01, pp. 15–28.

 (2011). "Accuracy v. Robustness in Grammar Engineering". In: Language from a Cognitive Perspective: Grammar, Usage and Processing. Ed. by Emily M Bender and Jennifer E. Arnold. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications, pp. 31–50.

Bender, Emily M, Dan Flickinger, and Stephan Oepen (2002). "The Grammar Matrix: An Open-Source Starter-Kit for the Rapid Development of Cross-Linguistically Consistent Broad-Coverage Precision Grammars". In: Proceedings of the Workshop on Grammar Engineering and Evaluation at the 19th International Conference on Computational Linguistics. Ed. by John Carroll, Nelleke Oostdijk, and Richard Sutcliffe. Taipei, Taiwan, pp. 8–14.

Bender, Emily M, Scott Drellishak, et al. (2010). "Grammar Customization". In: Research on Language & Computation 8.1, pp. 23–72. ISSN: 1570-7075.

Przepiórkowski, Adam (2016). "How not to distinguish arguments from adjuncts in LFG". In: Proceedings of the Joint 2016 Conference on Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar and Lexical Functional Grammar, pp. 560–580.

Nonloc. amalg. for morph. ques. marking

Olga Zamaraeva

Introductior

Data: Constituent questions Grammar Matrix Nonlocal amalgamation (NA) Multiple question frontin

Data

Analysis without nonlocal amalgamation

Analysis with nonlocal amalgamation

Conclusion